

**Economy, Residents and Communities Scrutiny Committee**  
12-12-2022

<b>Report Title:</b>	Strategic Risk Register Report Quarter 2 2022/2023 Cabinet 7 <sup>th</sup> February 2023
<b>Lead Officer:</b>	Jane Thomas

**Key Issues in the report highlighted by Lead Officer**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 new risks escalated to Strategic Risk Register.</li> <li>• 2 new risks escalated to the Strategic Risk Register by EMT approval.</li> <li>• 13 current Strategic Risks that need scrutiny to aid Cabinet (One out of the thirteen risks have a probability of 'almost certain' and an impact of 'catastrophic'. Also, five risks have a probability of 'likely' and an impact of 'major')</li> </ul>
---

**Key Feeders (tick all that apply)**

Strategic Risk	x	Cabinet Work Plan	
Director / Head of Service Key Issue		External / Internal Inspection	
Existing Commitment / Annual Report		Performance / Finance Issue	
Suggestion from Public		Referral from Council / Committee	
Corporate Improvement Plan		Impacting Public / other services	
Service Integrated Business Plan			
Suggestion from Members			
Partnerships			

**Scrutiny Impact (tick all that apply)**

Policy Review		Performance	
Informing Policy Development		Evidence Gathering	
Risk	x	Corporate Improvement Plan	
Service Integrated Business Plan		Partnerships	
Pre-Decision Scrutiny		Finance / Budget	

**Other (please specify)**

**Suggested scrutiny activity - Committee's Role:**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request additional information where necessary to ensure comprehensive process has been undertaken.</li> <li>• Challenge scoring profiles, mitigation and progress made against the managing the risk</li> <li>• Make informed recommendations to Cabinet</li> </ul>
---

**On what specific elements of the report would scrutiny comment add value**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scoring profiles based on the guidance given in the Risk Management Framework (Do you agree? Are they a fair and appropriate judgement of risk likelihood and impact?)</li> <li>• Mitigation (Are the control/mitigating actions robust enough for getting the residual risk score down or confidence to close the risk?)</li> <li>• Progress made against the managing the risk (Does the commentary provided, give confidence that the risk is being managed effectively?)</li> <li>• Any other comments</li> </ul>
--